

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office! Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

|  |               | ;<br>\$              | www.uspto.gov           | ŗ.               |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE / | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |
| 09/986,709   | 11/09/2001    | Ashok R. Thakrar     | 021480-679              | 5890             |
| 75   | 90 07/16/2002 | Website the second   |                         | ;<br>;           |
| James W. Peterson, Esq. BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404 |               |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |
|  |               |                      | AZPURU, CARLOS A        |                  |
| Alexandria, VA 22313-1404  |               | 7 7                  | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |
|  |               | }                    | 1615                    |                  |
|  |               | ,                    | DATE MAILED: 07/16/2002 | 2 Y              |
|  |               |                      |                         |                  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/986,709

Applic&π(s)

Thakrar et al

Examiner

Carlos Azpuru

Art Unit **1615** 



| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear  | ars on the cover sheet with the correspondence address   |  |  |  |  |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Period for R ply   |  |  |  |  |  |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In<br/>mailing date of this communication.</li> </ul>   | n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the   |  |  |  |  |
| <ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the lift NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the lift of the lif</li></ul> | and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |  |  |  |  |
| Status   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This ac  | ction is non-final.  |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Exp   |  |  |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>52-80</u>   | is/are pending in the applica  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a) Of the above, claim(s)   | is/are withdrawn from considera  |  |  |  |  |
| 5) 🗌 Claim(s)  | is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |  |
|  | is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |  |
| 7)   | is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |  |
|  | are subject to restriction and/or election requirem  |  |  |  |  |
| Application Papers   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is.  | s/are a∏ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | is: a  approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.  |  |  |  |  |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin   | ner.   |  |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr  | iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  |  |  |  |  |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐None of:   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1.  ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have  | e been received.   |  |  |  |  |
| 2.   Certified copies of the priority documents have   | e been received in Application No  |  |  |  |  |
| <ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority do<br/>application from the International Burea<br/>*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the</li> </ol>  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic  | ·  |  |  |  |  |
| a)☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic  | • •  |  |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1) XNotice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).  |  |  |  |  |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)   |  |  |  |  |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).   | 6) Other:  |  |  |  |  |



Art Unit: 1615

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Receipt is acknowledged of the amendments filed 11/09/01.

## **Double Patenting**

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 52-77 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-10 of U.S. Patent No. 6,248,161 ('161). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because '161 claims a method of producing a soft hydrogel colored contacted lens by dissolving, dispersion incorporating, then applying the dispersion, followed by solvent evaporation. The monomeric lens in a mold is placed in contact with an imprinting surface, with polymerization to follow. The same monomers and art recognized method of forming encapsulted material within the lens are used in the instant application. Further, the same resin system, and coloring agents are also claimed. Those of ordinary skill would have have expected the same therapeutically



Art Unit: 1615

effective contact system from the instant claims given the claims 0f '161. Therefore it would have been well within the skill of the ordinary practitioner to claim the instant hydrogel colored contact lens system given the claims of '161 which set out the method of forming said lens system.

Claims 78-80 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 7-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,337,040 ('040). Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because '040 claims a method of producing a hydrogel colored contact lens by providing the same resin system, coloring agents, and particle size and imprinting system. Those of ordinary skill would expect the same therapeutically effective colored contact lens system given the claims of '040. Therefore, the instant colored contact lens system would have been obvious, given that '040 claims the same method of producing said system, using the same materials, and same limitations on weight percent and particle size.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carlos A. Azpuru whose telephone number is 703/308-0237. The examiner can normally be reached on Tu-Fri, 6:30 am - 5:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman K Page can be reached on 703-308-2927. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 703-872-9307 for After Final communications.

Application/Control Number: 09/986,709

Art Unit: 1615

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1235.

ca July 15, 2002

> CARLOS AZPURU PRIMARY EXAMINER